

A Breakthrough Series Collaborative on Safety and Risk Assessments



casey family programs.



AMERICAN HUMANE

Protecting Children & Animals Since 1877

Breakthrough Series Collaborative on Safety and Risk Assessments

Bibliography

- ACTION for Child Protection. (2003). *The differences between risk and safety*. Charlotte, NC: ACTION for Child Protection, Inc.
- ACTION for Child Protection, Inc. (2003). *The foundation of safety assessments*. Charlotte, NC: ACTION for Child Protection, Inc.
- ACTION for Child Protection, Inc. (2006). *Comparing and understanding the difference: Risk of maltreatment, present danger, and impending danger*. Charlotte, NC: ACTION for Child Protection, Inc.
- ACTION for Child Protection, Inc. (2006). *Key concepts in safety practice*. Charlotte, NC: ACTION for Child Protection, Inc.
- ACTION for Child Protection, Inc. (2007). *The safety decision*. Charlotte, NC: ACTION for Child Protection, Inc.
- ACTION for Child Protection, Inc. (2007). *Where is the proof?* Charlotte, NC: ACTION for Child Protection, Inc.
- Agosti, J. (2007). Small changes. . .dramatic transformations. *Common Grounds: For Professionals, Advocates and Families*, 22(2), 1.
- Agosti, J. & Morrill, S. (2007). *Breakthrough series collaborative on adolescent permanency: Promising practices and lessons learned*. Massachusetts Department of Social Services.
- Arad-Davidson, B., Benbenishty, R. (2008). The role of workers' attitudes and parent and child wishes in child protection workers' assessments and recommendation regarding removal and reunification. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 30, 107-121.
- Ards, S.D., Myers, S.L., Chung, C., Malkis, S., & Hagerty, B. (2001, August). *Racial reporting bias and child maltreatment*. Paper presented at the meeting of the International Society for the Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect, Istanbul, Turkey.
- Baird, C. Ereth, J. & Wagner, D. (1999). *Research-based risk assessment: Adding equity to CPS decision making*. Madison, WI: Children's Research Center.
- Baird, C. & Wagner, D. (2000). The relative validity of actuarial- and consensus-based risk assessment system. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 22 (11/12), 839-871.
- Bay Area Social Services Consortium. (2005). Risk and safety assessment in child welfare: Instrument comparisons. *BASSC Evidence for Practice Series*, 2. Berkeley, CA: University of California at Berkeley, School of Social Welfare.

- Bigfoot, D.S. (2000). *History of Victimization in Native Communities*. Oklahoma City, OK: University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center.
- Bigfoot, D.S. & Braden, J. (2007). Adapting evidence-based treatments for use with American Indian and Native Alaskan children and youth. *Focal Point*, 21(1), 19-22.
- Camassa, M., J., Jagannathan, R. (2000). Modeling the reliability and predictive validity of risk assessment in child protective services. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 22(11/12), 873-896.
- Casey Family Programs. (2006). *Focus on foster care: The breakthrough series collaborative*. Seattle, WA: Author.
- Child Welfare League of America. (2005). *A Comparison of Approaches to Risk Assessment in Child Protection and Brief Summary of Issues Identified From Research on Assessment in Related Fields*. Arlington, VA: Author.
- Children's Research Center. (1999). *The improvement of child protective services with structured decision making*. Madison, WI: Author.
- Children's Research Center. (2007). Translating data into improved child safety. *SDM News*, 14 (May).
- Coakley, T.M., (2007). Examining African American fathers' involvement in permanency planning: An effort to reduce racial disproportionality in the child welfare system. *Children and Youth Services Review*. 3, 407-417.
- Crea, T. (2006). *Balanced decision-making in child welfare: Maximizing information gathering and process using multiple perspectives*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of North Carolina.
- Cromie, W.J. (2003, June 17). Brain shows unconscious prejudices: Fear center is activated. *Harvard University Gazette*. Found at <http://www.hno.harvard.edu/gazette/2003/07.17/15-prejudice.html>
- D'Andrade, A., Austin, M.J., & Benton, A. (2008). Risk and safety assessment in child welfare: Instrument comparisons. *Evidence for Child Welfare Practice*, 5(1/2), 31-56.
- DePanfilis, D. & Zuravin, S.J. (2001). Assessing risk to determine the need for services. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 23(1), 3-20.
- Edwards, M. (2002, September). *Safety and risk instruments and protocols*. Training presented to Philadelphia Department of Children and Family Services. Englewood, CO: American Humane Association.
- Edwards, S. *The signs of safety in detail: Approach to child protection casework*. Retrieved August 8, 2007 from http://www.signsofsafety.net/pages/Signs_of_Safety_In_Detail.html

- England, P. (1994). Trends from the risk assessment roundtable. *Protecting Children*, 10(4), 3-5.
- English, D.J. (2005). *A comparison of approaches to risk assessments in child protection and related fields*. Arlington, VA: Child Welfare League of America.
- English, D.J. & Graham, J.C. (2000). An examination of relationships between children's protective services social worker assessment of risk and independent LONGSCAN measures of risk constructs. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 22(11/12), 897-933.
- Fluke, J., Edwards, M., Bussey, M., Wells, S., & Johnson, W. (2001). Reducing recurrence in child protective services: Impact of a targeted safety protocol. *Child Maltreatment*, 6(3), 207-218.
- Fuller, T.L., Wells, S.J., & Cotton, E.E. (2001). Predictors of maltreatment recurrence at two milestones in the life of a case. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 23(1), 49-78.
- Gambrill, E. & Shlonsky, A. (2000). Risk assessment in context. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 22(11/12), 813-837.
- Gambrill, E. & Shlonsky, A. (2001). The need for comprehensive risk management systems in child welfare. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 23(1), 79-107.
- Hill, R.B. (2006). *Synthesis of research on disproportionality in child welfare: An update*. Seattle, WA: Casey-CSSP Alliance for Racial Equity in the Child Welfare System. Retrieved from http://www.caseyfamilyservices.org/pdfs/0226_CC_BobHillPaper_FINAL.pdf
- Hollinshead, D. & Fluke, J. (N.D.). What works in safety and risk assessment for child protective services. Unpublished manuscript.
- Institute for Healthcare Improvement. (2003). *The breakthrough series: IHI's collaborative model for achieving breakthrough improvement*. Cambridge, MA: Author.
- James, K., Janczewski, C., & Ward, K. (2007). *Supporting kinship care: Promising practices and lessons learned*. Seattle, WA: Casey Family Programs.
- Johnson, M.A., Stone, S., Lou, C., Vu, C.M., Ling, J., Mizrahi, P., & Austin, M.J. (2008). Family assessment in child welfare services: Instrument comparisons. *Evidence for Child Welfare Practice*, 5(1/2), 57-90.
- Jones, E. (N.D.) *Places to watch: Promising practices to address racial disproportionality in child welfare services*. Seattle, WA: The Casey-CSSP Alliance for Racial Equity.
- Kathol, J. & Mayer, B. (2007). *Conflict resolution in child welfare: Collecting the wisdom of 25 years of experience*. Retrieved from http://www.nationalcasa.org/download/Judges_Page/0806_JP7_0119.pdf

- Kinney, J., Vaughn, D. & Strand, K. (N.D.). *Beyond Risk: Improving assessments to keep people safer*. Retrieved from http://www.childwelfare.gov/responding/ia/safety_risk/
- Kirk, R. S. (2006, November). *The North Carolina family assessment scale for general services: NCFAS-G*. Presented at the American Humane Conference on Differential Response in Child Welfare, San Diego, CA.
- Lou, C., Anthony, E.K., Stone, S., Vu, C.M., & Austin, M.J. (2008). Assessing child and youth well-being: Implications for child welfare practice. *Evidence for Child Welfare Practice*, 5(1/2), 91-133.
- Lutz, L. & Agosti, J. (2005). *Recruitment and retention of resource families: Promising practices and lessons learned*. Seattle, WA: Casey Family Programs.
- Lutz, L. & Agosti, J. (2007). *Implementing differential response in California: Promising practices and lessons learned*. Seattle, WA: Casey Family Programs.
- Lyle, C.G. & Graham, E. (2000). Looks can be deceiving: Using a risk assessment instrument to evaluate the outcomes of child protection services. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 22 (1 1/2), 935-949.
- Lyons, P. & Doueck, H. J. (1996). Risk assessment for child protective services: A review of the empirical literature on instrument performance. *Social Work Research*, 20(3), 143–156.
- Moore, K.A. (2006). Defining the term “at risk.” *Research to Results Brief, #2006-12*. Washington, DC: Child Trends.
- Morton, T. & Holder, W. (1997). *Decision making in children’s protective services: Advancing the state of the art*. Duluth, GA: National Resource Center on Child Maltreatment.
- Morton, T. D. & Salovitz, B. (2006). Evolving a theoretical model of child safety in maltreating families. *Child Abuse & Neglect* 30, 1317-1327.
- National Resource Center for Child Protective Services. (2007). *Safety intervention policy standards and agency self-assessment*. Albuquerque, NM: Author.
- National Survey of Child and Adolescent Well-Being. (N.D.). Does substantiation of child maltreatment relate to child well-being and service receipt? *Findings from the NCSAW Study: Research Brief No. 9*. Washington, DC: Administration for Children and Families.
- O’Brien, M. (2005). Exploring and comparing client perception of need and social worker perception of risk: A key to improved intervention in cases of child neglect. *OACAS Journal*, 49(4), 2-10.
- Office of Program Policy Analysis & Government Accountability (Florida) (2007). *CBC Pilot Project Implementation Delayed But Proceeding; Other Initiatives Implemented*. (Report No. 07-03). Tallahassee, FL: Author.

- Osterling, K.L., D'Andrade, A., & Austin, M.J. (2008). Understanding and addressing racial/ethnic disproportionality in the front end of the child welfare system. *Evidence for Child Welfare Practice*, 5(1/2), 9-30.
- Pecora, P. & English, D.J. (1993). Multi-cultural guidelines for assessing family strengths and risk factors in child protective services. Seattle, WA: Washington Risk Assessment Project.
- Richardson, B. (N.D.). *Community intervention to reduce over-representation in Iowa's juvenile justice and child welfare systems*. Iowa City, IA: National Resource Center for Family Centered Practice, DMC Resource Center.
- Righthand, S., Kerr, B., & Drach, K. (2003). *Child maltreatment risk assessments: An evaluation guide*. New York: The Haworth Maltreatment and Trauma Press.
- Roberts, D.E. (2002). *Racial disproportionality in the U.S. child welfare system: Documentation, research on causes, and promising practices*. Baltimore, MD: The Annie E. Casey Foundation.
- Ryan, S., Wiles, D., Cash, S., & Siebert, C. (2005). Risk assessments: Empirically supported or values driven? *Children and Youth Services Review*, 27, 213–225.
- Rycus, J.S. & Hughes, R.C. (2003). *Issues in risk assessment in child welfare services*. Columbus, OH: North American Resource Center for Child Welfare.
- Schene, P. (1996). The risk assessment roundtables: A ten-year perspective. *Protecting Children*, 12(2), 4-8.
- Shlonsky, A. & Gambrill, E. (2001). The assessment and management of risk in child welfare services. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 23(1), 1-2.
- Shlonsky, A. & Lambert, L. (2007). *The perceived utility of child maltreatment risk assessment and clinical assessment tools*. Toronto: University of Toronto Bell Canada Child Welfare Research Center.
- Shlonsky, A. & Wagner, D. (2005). The next step: Integrating actuarial risk assessment and clinical judgment into an evidence-based practice framework in CPS case management. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 27, 409-427.
- Simpson, D.G., Imrey, P.C., Geling, O., & Butkus, S. (2000). Statistical estimation of child abuse rates from administrative databases. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 22(11/12), 951-971.
- State of Nevada Division of Child and Family Services-Family Programs Office. (2006). *Indian child welfare resource guide*.
- Steib, S. (2003, November/December). Risk assessment and decisionmaking in child protection. *Children's Voice*, 34-35.

- Turnell, A. (n.d.). *Aspiring to partnership: The signs of safety approach to child protection*. Burswood, Western Australia, Australia: Resolutions Consultancy.
- Turnell, A. & Edwards, S. (1999). *Signs of safety: A solution and safety orientated approach to child protection casework*. New York: W.W. Norton and Company.
- U.S. Government Accountability Office. (2007). *African American children in foster care: Additional HHS assistance needed to help states reduce the proportion in care*. Washington, DC: United States Government Accountability Office.
- Weiss, H., & Klein, L. G. (2006). *Changing the conversation about home visiting: Scaling up with quality*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Family Research Project.
- White, A., & Walsh, P. (2006). *Risk assessment in child welfare: An issues paper*. Ashfield, New South Wales, Australia: Center for Parenting and Research.
- Wolock, I., Sherman, P., Feldman, L. H., & Metzger, B. (2001). Child abuse and neglect referral patterns: A longitudinal study. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 23(1), 21-47.
- Wulczyn, F. & Lery, B. (2007). *Racial disparity in foster care admissions*. Chicago: Chapin Hall Center for Children at the University of Chicago.